Nicastrin guards Alzheimer’s gate
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Alzheimer’s disease is characterized by protein deposits of amyloid-β as plaques in the brain (1). The suite of enzymes that produce amyloid-β by cutting it out of the amyloid-β precursor protein (APP) have long been considered to be prime targets for therapeutic intervention. Converting this promise into reality, however, continues to be stalled by a series of obstacles, including an inaccurate and incomplete understanding of the underlying enzyme mechanisms (2). This challenge has been especially daunting for the multisubunit γ-secretase enzyme that lies embedded in the cell membrane, and ultimately delivers the final intramembrane cut that liberates amyloid-β. In PNAS, Bolduc et al. (3) have now added an unexpected new piece to the γ-secretase puzzle: Nicastrin, the largest component of the γ-secretase complex, functions as a “gatekeeper” that physically blocks large proteins from entering the enzyme’s catalytic center and being cut inappropriately.

**Background**

γ-Secretase was originally defined by its role in APP processing, but deeper study revealed it to be an enzymatic “hub” that processes scores of different cell surface proteins (4, 5). The most consequential is the Notch receptor: The effect of blocking γ-secretase activity is accounted for by genetic KO of Notch signaling alone (6). In fact, cleavage of Notch by γ-secretase is itself the trigger that completes a communication circuit between cells that is important during embryonic development and in rapidly renewing adult tissues. Specificity of this communication cascade, therefore, absolutely relies on γ-secretase reliably distinguishing activated from resting Notch receptors. The medical repercussions of this deceptively simple choice loom large: Loss of Notch cleavage is incompatible with life, whereas excess cleavage is implicated in cancer (7).

Ultimately, ligand binding to the Notch receptor leads to a series of rearrangements that result in cutting away of the extracellular portion (“ectodomain”) of Notch (8, 9). The short extracellular stub, transmembrane segment, and cytoplasmic signaling domain remain at the membrane and become a substrate for γ-secretase (6). In fact, APP, Notch, and dozens of other γ-secretase substrates share no apparent sequence identity, but all require this “priming” ectodomain shedding to convert them into γ-secretase substrates (10).

A lingering question has been precisely how γ-secretase keeps from cleaving full-length substrates when the sequence surrounding the cleavage of both “primed” and resting proteins is identical. One enticing model sprouted from an apparent motif hidden within one of the four essential γ-secretase subunits. Nicastrin, the only subunit with a large ectodomain, itself contains a short sequence that distantly resembles aminopeptidases, or enzymes that evolved to bind amino termini. Early evidence clearly supported this view (11), and nicastrin is now widely considered to be a substrate receptor that binds the new amino terminus as a prerequisite for efficient substrate processing by γ-secretase. Quantitative data to detail the mechanism underlying this attractive...
and prevailing model, however, have remained incomplete despite a decade of study.

A Mystery Unfolds
Bolduc et al. (3) confronted this challenge head on by judiciously developing a series of chemically defined substrates and quantitative enzyme assays to interrogate nicastrin function. Among their arsenal of innovative tools were proteins that they joined from synthetic and bacterially produced fragments to generate primed Notch substrates with either a natural amino terminus or one that had its amine character masked by acetylation. To their surprise, presenting either substrate to γ-secretase revealed no requirement for the amino terminus in substrate cleavage. Other modifications, including mutating the aminoterminal residue to various charged or bulky amino acids or flooding the system with a 1,000-fold excess of “decoy” peptide, failed to hinder γ-secretase processing. These alarming results cast serious doubt that the newly generated amino terminus of Notch acts positively to enhance γ-secretase catalysis.

If binding between substrate and γ-secretase apparently is not mediated through the new amino terminus, then what part drives association with γ-secretase? Bolduc et al. (3) next beautifully adapted a new technology that allows measuring enzyme kinetics directly inside the cell membrane (12). They ultimately found that just the Notch transmembrane segment alone acted as an efficient substrate for γ-secretase (3). Although there is limited precedent for interpreting binding affinities within the 2D membrane bilayer system, the $K_m$ parameter they measured (3) was 100-fold lower than the $K_c$ parameter measured using the same assay with rhomboid proteases, which also cut inside the membrane (12). On at least a relative scale, therefore, it is the transmembrane segment of Notch alone that drives the “tight” association with γ-secretase.

If the new amino terminus does not stimulate γ-secretase processing, and association is driven by the Notch transmembrane segment, what is the role of nicastrin? A final clue came from progressively extending the Notch amino terminus: These modifications compromised catalysis, as expected, but did so by appearing to “block” the transmembrane interaction. This clue led Bolduc et al. (3) back to nicastrin: Does nicastrin ensure substrate specificity by physically blocking substrates with long ectodomains from entering the γ-secretase active site?

The incisive experiment to test this new model was conceptually simple but logistically complicated: Disrupting the nicastrin ectodomain should allow processing of full-length substrates, but disruptive mutations in nicastrin could confound γ-secretase complex assembly, stability, and/or transport. Bolduc et al. (3) thus developed a clever nonmutagenic means to disrupt the nicastrin ectodomain after the natural γ-secretase complex is already assembled and has matured. Because nicastrin is the only γ-secretase subunit that has disulfide bridges to help stabilize its fold (13), Bolduc et al. (3) used reducing agents to destabilize its ectodomain. Remarkably, this treatment increased cleavage of diverse substrates with longer or even full ectodomains by as much as 20-fold, yet had no effect on the processing of primed substrates with short ectodomains. Reduction did not fully overcome the need for ectodomain shedding in every case, perhaps because this treatment destabilized, but did not remove, the bulky nicastrin ectodomain. Therefore, the nicastrin ectodomain functions negatively in substrate discrimination without affecting processing efficiency.

The steric block mechanism for nicastrin fits well with several recent observations that initially seemed paradoxical. The latest atomic structures of γ-secretase revealed the aminopeptidase-like region of nicastrin is positioned on the opposite side relative to the enzyme active site, and thus would require a large conformation change to move into position for productive aminoterminal binding during catalysis (13). The bulky face of nicastrin, in contrast, hovers reassuringly above the γ-secretase active site. Finally, a simple archaeal homolog of γ-secretase that lacks nicastrin (or other subunits) is able to process APP with a large ectodomain (14).

Implications and Future Directions
In addition to shedding new light on an old mystery, the work of Bolduc et al. (3) offers a different perspective on enzyme function inside the membrane. Methods to quantify the kinetics of protein cutting inside the membrane have been developed only recently (12), and, until now, the characterized examples revealed low affinity between enzymes and their transmembrane substrates. Bolduc et al. (3) now show that γ-secretase displays an ~100-fold lower $K_m$ for Notch than any other enzyme/substrate pair analyzed to date (12, 15). Although this disparity likely reflects, at least partially, functional differences between γ-secretase and rhomboid proteases, perhaps even more exciting is the possibility that Notch is itself the root of the exception.

Bolduc et al. (3) deliberately chose to focus on Notch because it is the key γ-secretase substrate evolutionarily. By the same token, however, Notch could have experienced unique selective pressure to evolve a tight association (6). On a biochemical level, it also seems unlikely that γ-secretase could process scores of substrates with little regard for their transmembrane sequence but with a high binding affinity for most of these highly diverse substrates. In support of this speculative view, γ-secretase directly is the inability to lower γ-secretase activity by targeting γ-secretase directly is the inability to lower pathological processing of APP while sparing the processing of Notch (2). Such nuanced intervention requires a complete understanding of how γ-secretase functions, and the work of Bolduc et al. (3) now provides both deeper insight and new methods that could find important but unexpected clinical implications.
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