Sequentially bridged graphene sheets with high strength, toughness, and electrical conductivity
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We here show that infiltrated bridging agents can convert inexpensively fabricated graphene platelet sheets into high-performance materials, thereby avoiding the need for a polymer matrix. Two types of bridging agents were investigated for interconnecting graphene sheets, which attach to sheets by either π–π bonding or covalent bonding. When applied alone, the π–π bonding agent is most effective. However, successive application of the optimized ratio of π–π bonding and covalent bonding agents provides graphene sheets with the highest strength, toughness, fatigue resistance, electrical conductivity, electromagnetic interference shielding efficiency, and resistance to ultrasonic dissolution. Raman spectroscopy measurements of stress transfer to graphene platelets allow us to decipher the mechanisms of property improvement. In addition, the degree of orientation of graphene platelets increases with increasing effectiveness of the bonding agents, and the interlayer spacing increases. Compared with other materials that are strong in all directions within a sheet, the realized tensile strength (945 MPa) of the resin-free graphene platelet sheets was higher than for carbon nanotube or graphene platelet composites, and comparable to that of commercially available carbon fiber composites. The toughness of these composites, containing the combination of π–π bonding and covalent bonding, was much higher than for these other materials having high strengths for all in-plane directions, thereby opening the path to materials design of layered nanocomposites using multiple types of quantitatively engineered chemical bonds between nanoscale building blocks.
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Carbon fiber reinforced polymer composites are becoming ubiquitous in automotive, aviation, electronic, energy, and biomedical technologies. However, carbon fiber composites have many shortcomings that make their broader utilization challenging from both technical and economic standpoints. First, the mechanical properties of carbon fiber composites are anisotropic even when carbon fiber sheets are plied. Secondly, delamination of carbon fiber from the polymer matrix, especially in the seams with other materials, causes fractures that lead to failures. Thirdly, the electrical conductivity of carbon fiber composites is lower than desired for some applications.

Carbon-based nanomaterials, such as individual carbon nanotubes (CNTs) (1) and single graphene sheet (2), show extremely high mechanical and electrical properties due to their sp\textsuperscript{2} bonding and nearly defect-free structure (3, 4). In the past decade, starting from the early examples (5, 6), various graphene-based composites (7–9), including thin sheets comprising graphene platelets (10–13), have been fabricated. However, improvements in mechanical and electrical properties are needed before inexpensive graphene-based composites can be used for high-performance applications. Instead of making a polymer composite in which graphene platelets are uniformly layered in a host polymer, we are designing a structure in which covalent and π–π interplatelet bonding provides bridges between adjacent graphene layers, and no host polymer resin is needed.

Herein, we demonstrate the fabrication of high-performance graphene-based sheets and the general method for their molecular engineering using versatile bridging chemistries. Using graphene oxide (GO) or reduced graphene oxide (rGO) platelets as the precursor, either the GO or rGO was sequentially exposed to agents that could bridge through π–π interactions or covalent bonding. These two bridging elements were added independently, which is convenient for optimizing performance by controlling the relative ratio of π–π interactions and covalent bonding. As a result, mechanical load is effectively transferred between rGO platelets in sequentially bridged graphene (SBG) composites, providing high strength, toughness, and electrical conductivity. The macroscale effect of nanoscale bridging is observed by the simultaneous improvements of various mechanical properties, as well as electrical conductivity, stability in harsh environments, and microwave shielding capabilities. Raman measurements demonstrate on a molecular scale the stress transfer that results in properties improvements.

Results and Discussion

Fig. 1 illustrates the process used to make SBG sheets. First, GO (SI Appendix, Fig. S1) dispersed in water was vacuum filtered to...
form a freestanding GO sheet. This sheet was immersed in a solution of 10,12-pentacosadiyn-1-ol [PCO, CH₃(CH₂)₁₁C≡C–C≡C(CH₃)₂CH₂OH] and then, after drying, exposed to UV light to provide a GO-PCO sheet in which the diacetylene groups of PCO have reacted by 1,4-addition polymerization (14, 15). Afterward, hydroiodic acid (HI) was used to reduce the GO-PCO sheets into graphene-PCO (G-PCO) sheets. Finally, the G-PCO sheet was immersed successively into 1-pyrenebutyric acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (PSE) and 1-aminopyrene (AP) solutions, thereby providing an SBG sheet in which the PSE and AP have bonded through π–π interactions with neighboring graphene platelets and reacted to provide PSE-AP covalent bonds (16). The ratio of π–π interactions through PSE-AP derived bonding and covalent bonding resulting from PCO can be optimized by adjusting the immersion times in the respective solutions. To compare properties, sheets were also obtained by eliminating treatment with PCO, thereby providing sheets that are π-bridged graphene (zBG).

The combination of these bridging agents, using optimized relative concentrations, provides the difficult-to-attain combination of strength and toughness for a structure having isotropic in-plane mechanical properties. Previous investigations led to our choice of the individual bridging agents. Over two decades ago, Katz (17) demonstrated the use of the strong π-bonding of PSE to pyrolytic graphite for protein immobilization, and deployed an extrusion reaction to enable direct coupling between the carbonyl group of PSE and a NH group of a protein, like for the present reaction with AP to form PSE-AP (Fig. 1A). AP is well known to strongly bond with graphitic surfaces (18). Using AP with another reactive π-bonding agent, thin sheets having a tensile strength of 539 MPa were obtained (19). Scanning tunneling microscopy has shown that the diacetylene monomer PCO polymerizes by 1,4-addition reaction on graphite sheets (20) and polymerized PCO has been used to increase the realized modest tensile strengths (220 MPa) for stretched CNT sheets (15). Polymerized PCO has also been used to cross-couple rGO nanosheets to provide a maximum tensile strength of 157 MPa (14).

Schematic illustrations are provided for 1,4-addition polymerization of PCO to yield covalent connectivity between platelet edges of rGO (Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Fig. S2) and between the basal planes of neighboring graphene platelets (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Spectroscopic evidence for these bridging processes and resulting property improvements will be described later. After PCO infiltration, the hydroxyl group on one end of the PCO monomers can covalently graft to GO nanosheets by reaction with carboxyl groups on the GO nanosheets. Then, PCO molecules grafted on nearby GO platelets are covalently coupled by 1,4-addition polymerization of diacetylene groups using UV irradiation (Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Figs. S2 and S3). Subsequent HI reduction removes most of the unreacted oxygen-containing groups on the surface of GO nanosheets. After successive PSE and AP infiltration, the PSE and AP react to form PSE-AP (shown in Fig. 1B), which provides at opposite PSE-AP ends the aromatic functionalities needed for the π–π interactions that enable bridging between nearby rGO nanosheets.

Since X-ray diffraction measurements (SI Appendix, Table S1) indicate that the interplanar spacing within π–π bonded, covalently bonded, and sequentially bonded platelets are atomically thin (between 3.70 and 3.81 Å, and close to the 3.66 Å for dry rGO), it is clear that the PCO and PSE-AP bonding agents provide structural reinforcement by coating the surfaces of rGO platelets, rather than intercalating the platelets. These binding agents can thereby potentially connect platelet edges (both interplatelet and intraplatelet) and connect the exterior basal planes of stacked platelets. Since the ratio of the surface area available on exterior platelet basal planes to that on platelet edges is very large (on the order of 4,000, based on the measurement results in SI Appendix, Fig. S1 for exfoliated graphene oxide), we suspect that edge-based coupling (like exclusively illustrated in Fig. 1B for PCO-based covalent coupling) is unimportant. Moreover, the topochemical 1,4-addition polymerization of a diacetylene monomer critically depends on the assembly of PCO onto an ordered array, and such assembly (illustrated in SI Appendix, Fig. S3) is likely facilitated by the roughly planar geometry of the exterior basal planes of GO platelets.

Five kinds of SBG sheets were prepared: SBG-1 to SBG-V. The content of PSE-AP and PCO molecules (SI Appendix, Table S2) in these flexible silver-gray sheets (Fig. 1C) was derived from thermogravimetric analysis curves (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). Fig. 1D and E shows low- and high-resolution scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of SBG-V (containing 3.98 wt % PCO and 5.43 wt % PSE-AP), which are similar to the images for the other investigated SBG samples (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). The energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy mapping of nitrogen.

**Fig. 1.** Fabrication and structural characterization of SBG sheets. (A) GO platelets were assembled into a GO sheet by filtration, and then PCO was infiltrated into the GO sheet and polymerized using UV radiation. Next, the GO-PCO was reduced using HI. Afterward, PSE and AP were successively infiltrated into the sheet, which resulted in their reaction to form PSE-AP molecules, which are able to π-bond on rGO layers. (B) Schematic structural model showing possibly resulting edge connection between rGO layers in different platelets within an SBG sheet. SI Appendix, Fig. S3 illustrates a 1,4-addition reaction of diacetylene layers on the top of a platelet, which can provide covalent bonding between neighboring platelet surfaces. (C) Photograph of an SBG sheet, showing its flexibility. (D) Low-resolution SEM image of the edge of a fractured SBG sheet. (E) High-resolution SEM image of the area outlined in D. WAXS patterns for an incident beam parallel to the sheet plane and corresponding azimuthal scan profiles for 002 peak for (F) rGO, (G) G-PCO, (H) rBG-V, and (I) SBG-V sheets.
element, which was performed on the cross-section of SBG-V sheets (SI Appendix, Fig. S6), demonstrates the infiltration of PSE-AP within the rGO sheet. As expected from the layered structure shown in these SEM images, a strong diffraction peak corresponding to the interlayer separation within platelets is observed in X-ray diffraction curves (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). The degree of platelet orientation is indicated by the wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) patterns (Fig. 1 F–I), which were obtained by using an incident X-ray beam that is parallel to the sheet plane. These measurements provide the full width at half maximum measured in azimuthal scans for the 002 peak (41.8°, 28.7°, 25.1°, and 24.5° for rGO, G-PCO, πBG-V, and SBG-V, respectively).

Hence, reduced graphene oxide platelet orientation is improved by interplate bridging compared with other biomimetic composites studied before (21), and a high degree of orientation was obtained for the SBG-V, as tabulated in SI Appendix, Table S3. Interestingly, the SBG-V (3.81 Å) and πBG-V (3.80 Å) sheets provide longer interlayer diffusion spacings (SI Appendix, Table S1) than found for rGO (3.66 Å) and G-PCO (3.72 Å). This longer spacing might result from stresses produced by bonding agents that interconnect neighboring graphene platelets. Most importantly, the interplanar spacings for all of these π-bonded, covalently bonded, and sequentially bonded rGO platelets are so small (SI Appendix, Table S1) that it is clear that these bridging agents do not appreciably intercalate between platelet layers. Instead, these bonding agents attach to the external surfaces of platelets of stacked graphene, thereby enabling bridging between platelets.

Compared with rGO sheets, the bridged graphene sheets show increased resistance to destruction under ultrasonication (100 W, 40 kHz) in various solutions, such as N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), dimethylformamide (DMF), water, sulfuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$), and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) aqueous solutions, as shown in Fig. 2. Specifically, for all solutions the stability of the sheet structure with respect to damage produced by ultrasonication was as follows: SBG-V > πBG-V > G-PCO sheets. NMP and DMF, whose surface energy is well matched to that of graphene, more effectively provides ultrasonication-produced sheet disintegration than do the other liquids (22, 23). Photographs showing the time dependence or ultrasonic sheet disintegration in various liquids are provided in SI Appendix, Figs. S8–S12, and the results are summarized in SI Appendix, Fig. S13.

As a result of sequential bridging, SBG-V sheets provide a tensile strength (944.5 ± 46.6 MPa) and toughness (20.6 ± 1.0 MJ m$^{-3}$) that are higher than for πBG-V sheets (688.5 ± 17.0 MPa and 16.6 ± 1.2 MJ m$^{-3}$), G-PCO sheets (348.5 ± 12.0 MPa and 8.5 ± 1.3 MJ m$^{-3}$), or rGO sheets (209.7 ± 8.0 MPa and 2.6 ± 0.1 MJ m$^{-3}$), as tabulated in SI Appendix, Table S4. Also, the low-strain Young’s modulus of SBG-IV (18.5 GPa) and SBG-V (15.6 GPa) are substantially higher than for rGO (8.0 GPa), πBG-V (11.1 GPa), and G-PCO (5.3 GPa) as shown in Fig. 3 and SI Appendix, Fig. S14 and Table S5. Fig. 2 shows that sheet toughness monotonically increases with increasing sheet strength and that the structure retention time with respect to ultrasonic disintegration increases monotonically for all liquids with increasing sheet strength.

Gravimetric sheet strengths can be calculated by normalizing the measured sheet strengths to the sheet weights of each sample, which vary over only a 3% range (SI Appendix, Table S4) for rGO (2.01 g/cm$^2$), G-PCO (2.03 g/cm$^2$), πBG-V (2.04 g/cm$^2$), and SBG-V (2.07 g/cm$^2$). Measurement reliability is confirmed by comparing these gravimetric strengths and those derived by normalizing the fracture force to the sample weight per sample length, which are (respectively, in N m$^{-1}$), 104.3 ± 4.0 and 108.6 ± 5.1 for rGO, 171.7 ± 5.9 and 176.4 ± 7.2 for G-PCO, 337.5 ± 8.3 and 341.8 ± 9.5 for πBG-V, and 456.3 ± 22.5 and 459.8 ± 19.4 for SBG-V. As a minor point, note that the SEM images of fracture surfaces show curling of platelet edges for the bridged graphene samples, but not for the samples of rGO (SI Appendix, Fig. S15). This curling might be a consequence of enhanced interplatelet bonding in the bridged composites.

With a strength approaching 1 GPa, the SBG-V sheets are stronger than reported for graphene-platelet-based sheet composites (10–14, 24–37) (Fig. 3B). They also have a comparable or higher strength than commercially available carbon fiber composites that are plied to have high strengths in all sheet plane directions (www. hexcel.com/Resources/DataSheets/Prepreg) (such as T300-3K-PW/655 BMI, T300-3K-SHS/F593 epoxy, and T700-12K-Twill/M47 epoxy) and a higher strength than reported for CNT sheet composites having in-plane tensile strength isotropy (6, 38–42). Most importantly, none of the above carbon composites have a toughness that rivals SBG-V. The detailed mechanical properties of these materials are tabulated in SI Appendix, Table S6. While the toughness for the SBG-V sheets is much lower than for fibers comprising a dispersion of rGO and single-walled CNTs in polyvinyl alcohol (43), these uniaxial fibers lack the isotropic sheet plane toughness of the dual-bridged SBG-V. In addition, even though the absolute strength for the SBG-V sheets is lower than for recently reported ultrastrong metal alloys (44, 45), they provide a higher gravimetric strength than for these metal alloys (46–48), as shown in Fig. 3C. The Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra (SI Appendix, Fig. S16A) of πBG-V and SBG-V contain features around 3,248.8 cm$^{-1}$ and 1,666.3 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, for the N–H and C = O in amide groups of PSE-AP (SI Appendix, Fig. S16B), which indicates that PSE and AP have reacted to form amide linkages (16). In addition, the FTIR results for G-PCO and SBG-V (SI Appendix, Fig. S16A) show strong absorption peaks at
Properties of SBG sheets. (A) Representative stress–strain curves for sheets of (1) rGO, (2) G-PCO, (3) πBG-V, and (4) SBG-V. (B) Comparison of the tensile strength and toughness of an SBG-V sheet (red star) with the tensile strength and toughness of other materials having high strengths in all sheet plane directions: carbon fiber composites (green symbols), CNT sheet composites (orange symbols), and graphene sheet composites (purple symbols). (C) Comparison of the gravimetric strength of SBG-V sheets with the gravimetric strength of metals, where the base and top of the data bars indicate the range of gravimetric strengths. (D) Dependence of the number of cycles to failure on the maximum stress level for rGO, G-PCO, πBG-V, and SBG-V sheets. (E) Comparison of gravimetric electrical conductivity (red) and absolute electrical conductivity (green) for rGO, G-PCO, πBG-V, and SBG-V sheets. (F) EMI shielding effectiveness as a function of frequency for rGO, G-PCO, πBG-V, and SBG-V sheets having thicknesses between 3.3 and 3.8 μm.

1,770.4 cm$^{-1}$ and 1,168.7 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to the C = O and C–O–C– in ester groups, respectively. These peaks likely result from the reaction of the −OH groups of PCO with oxygen-containing groups of the GO during the synthesis of SBG-V and G-PCO (14).

Raman spectra (SI Appendix, Fig. S17A) show that the C≡C vibration of GO-PCO sheets is down-shifted from 2,260 to 2,119 cm$^{-1}$ after UV irradiation, demonstrating the 1,4-addition polymerization of PCO to form long conjugated polymer chains (50). For nonpolarized light propagating normal to the sheet plane, the ability to observe the Raman spectra due to low concentrations of polydiacetylene bridging agents, as well as the absorption due to long conjugation length polydiacetylene chains, confirms that interplatelet bridging results from the polymerization of long diacetylene arrays that assemble on the basal plane surfaces of rGO platelets.

The improved mechanical properties (SI Appendix, Table S5), as well as the improved resistance to mechanical damage during ultrasonication, suggest that PCO groups in the polydiacetylene chain have reacted with neighboring rGO platelets to provide covalent interplatelet bonding. Compared with rGO and G-PCO sheets, the Raman spectra of πBG-V and SBG-V sheets (SI Appendix, Fig. S17 C–E) show a slightly down-shifted G-band frequency (from 1,581 to 1,578 cm$^{-1}$) and a slightly up-shifted 2D band frequency (from 2,684 to 2,690 cm$^{-1}$). Interestingly, the D/G Raman intensity ratio (SI Appendix, Table S7) of rGO, G-PCO, πBG-V, and SBG-V sheets are similar (between 1.61 and 1.69), suggesting a negligible effect of the bridging agents on the conjugated structure of rGO sheets (16).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (SI Appendix, Fig. S19) shows that the C–C peak of sp$^2$ carbon is slightly up-shifted from 284.7 eV for sheets of GO, rGO, GO-PCO, and rGO-PCO to 285.1 eV for sheets of πBG-V and SBG-V. Most importantly, a C–N peak due to amide groups, arising at 286.2 eV for πBG-V and SBG-V, which indicates reaction between PSE and AP. Additionally, the XPS data show that the O$_1s$/C$_1s$ ratio decreases from 0.41 and 0.45 for GO and GO-PCO sheets to 0.16–0.19 for rGO, πBG-V, G-PCO, and SBG-V sheets (Table S8), indicating the substantial reduction of oxygen-containing groups of GO sheets during reduction by HI (51–53).

Besides providing remarkable static mechanical properties, the sequential bridging of π-bonding and covalent bonding in SBG sheets also increases the fatigue resistance of SBG sheets. Fig. 3D shows the dependence of the cycle life on the maximum applied stress. These results show that SBG-V sheets have higher cycle life for all applied stresses than the rGO, G-PCO, and πBG-V sheets. While both the G-PCO and πBG-V sheets have higher fatigue resistance than rGO, the fatigue resistance for πBG-V is much higher than for G-PCO. This especially enhanced fatigue resistance of πBG-V might be due to the ability of π–π bonding to behave as...
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sacrificial bonds that break and reform dynamically during fatigue testing. Such behavior is similar to that of some tough biological materials (54), which are able to dissipate much more energy than materials having predominantly strong covalent bonding. This observation is conducive with biomimetic engineering of these layered compounds enhanced by optimized bridging. Stress-strain curves during cycling and fracture morphologies after fatigue fracture are shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S20.

Consistent with the improved organization of the graphene-based nanocomposites (55), the bridging also increases the electrical conductivity and the electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding efficiency of rGO sheets. More specifically, the successively bridged sheet sample SBV-G provides the highest electrical conductivity (512.3 ± 24.5 S cm⁻¹, Fig. 3E and SI Appendix, Table S4), the highest gravimetric electrical conductivity (247.5 ± 11.8 S cm⁻¹ g⁻¹), and the highest EMI shielding efficiency (Fig. 3F).

Note that the electrical conductivity of SBV-G sheets is lower than for recently reported high-temperature-annelated graphene sheets (11) and graphene sheet composites composed of almost-intact graphene nanosheets (30). For comparison, the electrical conductivity and gravimetric electrical conductivity of rGO, G-PCO, and rZBG-V are 186.8 ± 16.9 S cm⁻¹ and 92.9 ± 8.4 S cm⁻¹ g⁻¹, 357.2 ± 18.6 S cm⁻¹ and 176.0 ± 9.2 S cm⁻¹ g⁻¹, and 340.5 ± 21.3 S cm⁻¹ and 215.9 ± 10.4 S cm⁻¹ g⁻¹, respectively. Reflecting the high electrical conductivity of SBV-G, the EMI shielding effectiveness of SBV-G sheets (∼27 dB) in the frequency range between 0.3 and 12 GHz is higher than for similarly thick rGO (∼11 dB), G-PCO (∼16 dB), and rZBG-V (∼21 dB) sheets. While the EMI shielding efficiency of SBV-G sheets is inferior to other state-of-the-art EMI shielding materials (56), it is still higher than for previously reported graphene sheets (57–59) having similar thicknesses, and is in the range of commercial interest.

Raman measurements of the strain dependence (60) of the graphene G-band frequency provide interesting information on the differences in stress transfer for rGO, G-PCO, rZBG-V, and SBV-G sheets (61–64) (Fig. 4). Both rGO and G-PCO provide long plateaus in which Raman frequency is independent of the macroscopically applied strain. This plateau is between ∼0.4% and ∼2.5% strain for rGO sheets and between ∼0.6% and ∼5% strain for G-PCO sheets, where the upper strain limit corresponds to sheet fracture for rGO and is slightly below the strain of complete fracture for G-PCO (>5%). These results show that while PCO substantially increases the strain to failure, it does not enable efficient stress transfer to the graphene sheets in the plateau region. In contrast, the rZBG-V and SBV-G sheets do not have a plateau region in Raman frequency shift. This fact and the larger strain dependence of Raman shift for rZBG-V and SBV-G sheets than for rGO or G-PCO sheets indicate more efficient stress transfer to the graphene sheets. Note that the failure stress and the Raman frequency shift up to failure strain are 209.7 MPa and ∼1.2 cm⁻¹ for rGO, 348.5 MPa and ∼5.6 cm⁻¹ for G-PCO, 688.5 MPa and ∼13.2 cm⁻¹ for rZBG-V, and 944.5 MPa and ∼15.7 cm⁻¹ for SBV-G. Hence, both the observed Raman shifts and the measured tensile strength indicate improved stress transfer for rZBG-V and SBV-G sheets compared with that for rGO and G-PCO sheets.

The Raman frequency shifts are reversible for all of the presently investigated graphene sheet compositions as long as the applied strain does not exceed ∼0.4% (SI Appendix, Fig. S21), which would correspond to elastic deformability due to straightening of rGO platelets. While there is not a significant higher strain range where strain release occurs without hysteresis the strain-induced Raman frequency down-shift for rGO, this strain range exists for strains down to ∼5.4% for G-PCO stretched to 5.75%, for strains down to ∼1.5% for rZBG-V stretched to 2.0%, and for strains down to ∼3.5% for SBV-G stretched to 3.4%. The elastic behavior with respect to the stretch applied to the graphene sheets (as measured by Raman frequency shifts) might result from elastic strain release due to cobonding, π–π bonding, and some combination of π–π and cobonding.

The sequential application of two bridging agents has enabled the scalable fabrication of resin-free composites having a combination of multiple properties including both mechanical and charge-transport characteristics. The realized tensile strength (945 MPa) exceeds that of previously reported CNT or graphene platelet composites that are strong in all sheet plane directions, and is comparable to that of commercially available carbon fiber sheet composites that are plied to increase strength in all in-plane directions. The toughness of our composites containing the combination of π–π bonding and cobonding was much higher than for these other materials having high strength in all sheet directions and they can be made at low processing temperatures. The combination of π–π bonding and covalent bonding bridging agents is more effective than the application of either agent. The strain dependence of Raman frequency indicate the effectiveness of π-bonded and covalently bonded agents in distributing local stress and can help address fundamental questions about structural relationship between nano-sheet interfaces and the macroscale mechanics of biomimetic composites. SBG composites might provide the next generation of lightweight functional composites that are needed for addressing the problems facing some key industries.

Materials and Methods

The PVO was received from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., and PSE, AP, and HN (57 wt %) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. THF (≥99.0%), NMP (≥99.0%), DMF (99.5%), H₂SO₄, NaOH, and ethanol (≥99.7%) were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagents Co., Ltd. These reagents were used as received without further purification. Deionized water (resistivity >18 MΩ cm) was collected from a Milli-Q Biocel system. GO was prepared by modified Hummers’s method (12) and dried for the following experiments. More details on the materials and methods can be found in SI Appendix.
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